

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,308	12/30/1999	DELFIN Y. MONTUNO	81395-137	6190
22502	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
SMART & BIGGAR			DUONG, FRANK	
2200 - 650 WEST GEORGIA STREET BOX 11560, VANCOUVER CENTRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
VANCOUVER, BC V6B4N8			2666	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. cant(s) 09/475.308 MONTUNO ET AL. Interview Summary **Examiner Art Unit** Frank Duong 2666 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Frank Duong. (2) Mr. John Know. Date of Interview: 27 September 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Srinivasan (Article). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Knox analyzes the claimed limitations a) and b) of claim 1 and asserts the Srinivasan fails to disclose the claimed limitation of "producing a Prefix Bit Array (PNBA) having a plurality of bit positions corresponding to possibile bit combinations of a bit string having a length equal to or less than the longest predetermined code in .. bit combinations". Mr. Knox gives a detail explanation of how to read the disputed claim limitation in view of the drawings of Figures 4 and 5. Examiner respectfully points out how the Srinivasan was read on the claimed limitation and asserts, in the present condition, the claim is still anticipated by Srinivasan. Examiner informs Mr. Knox due to the claim not drafted in a means/steps plus function, it is subjected to Examiner's broadest interpretation of existing prior art in consisting with the specification. Mr. Knox is adviced to further amend the claim to exclude the Srinivasan's prefix expansion and prefix capturing techniques from reading on the claimed invention. Upon receiving Mr. Knox's response, everything will be reconsidered in view of the interview. No agreement was reach.